

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,477	09/28/2001	Roger D. Porter	21606.8	6528
24219	7590 02/17/2004		EXAMINER	
THOMAS W. EPTING			PEZZUTO, ROBERT ERIC	
	/OOD WALKER TODD & I ICBEE AVENUE, SUITE 50		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 87		,	3671	
GREENVILI	LE, SC 29601		DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			St
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/966,477	PORTER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert E Pezzuto	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addre	ss
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M N. 2.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Abailing date of this communication, even if Size November 2003. This action is non-final. Wance except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E.	ONTH(S) FROM reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commit BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). timely filed, may reduce any	unication.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 32-39</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction and/			
Application Papers 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cort 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15. 	2)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/966,477

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The continuing data within the specification is not consistent with that in the declaration. Appropriate correction is required.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-23 and 32-39 drawn to earth working machine, classified in class
 subclass 42.
- II. Claims 24-31, drawn to a cutting blade, classified in class 56, subclass255.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)

Application/Control Number: 09/966,477

Art Unit: 3671

that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination can use a materially different blade to achieve the desired result. The subcombination has separate utility such as an edging or excavating blade.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Thomas Epting on February 12, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,477

Art Unit: 3671

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-23 and 32-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,651,361. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the patent and the instant application lend themselves to a trenching device having a folding and locking handle.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/966,477

Art Unit: 3671

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert E Pezzuto whose telephone number is (703) 308-1012. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B Will can be reached on (703) 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert E Pezzuto February 16, 2004